

## The Honorable Robert S. Lasnik

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

MARGARET STUDLEY,

Plaintiff,

V.

THE BOEING COMPANY, a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

No. 2:15-cv-01150

DEFENDANT THE BOEING  
COMPANY'S ANSWER TO  
COMPLAINT

Defendant The Boeing Company (“Defendant” or “Boeing”), for itself and no other party, hereby responds to the Complaint for Wrongful Termination of plaintiff Margaret Studley (“Plaintiff”).

## **I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

1.1 Plaintiff Margaret Studley (“Ms. Studley” or “Plaintiff”) is a resident of Curtis Bay, Anne Arundel County, Maryland.

**1.1 ANSWER:** Boeing is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations contained in paragraph 1.1, and on that basis denies the allegations of that paragraph.

1.2 The Boeing Company (hereinafter “Boeing” or “Defendant”) is a Delaware corporation, with more than 1,000 employees doing business in Snohomish County, Washington.

### **1.2      ANSWER: Admit.**

1.3 The wrongful acts alleged by Ms. Studley occurred in whole in Everett, Snohomish County, Washington.

**1.3 ANSWER:** Boeing admits that Ms. Studley worked at its Everett facility. Except as expressly admitted herein, Boeing denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 1.3.

1.4 The Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to RCW 49.60.030.

**1.4 ANSWER:** Paragraph 1.4 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Boeing denies the allegation.

1.5 Venue is proper in this Court because the acts alleged herein took place in Snohomish County, Washington, and Defendant transacts business in Snohomish County, Washington.

**1.5 ANSWER:** Paragraph 1.5 states a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Boeing denies the allegation.

1                   **II. STATEMENT OF FACTS APPLICABLE  
2                   TO ALL CLAIMS AND CAUSES OF ACTION**

3                 2.1 Ms. Studley incorporates by reference and re-alleges paragraphs 1.1 - 1.5 as if  
4                 fully set forth herein.

5                 **2.1 ANSWER: Boeing hereby incorporates its responses to all prior allegations  
6                 as previously stated.**

7                 2.2 Ms. Studley is a female living in Curtis Bay, Anne Arundel County, Maryland.

8                 **2.2 ANSWER: Boeing is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a  
9                 belief as to the allegations contained in paragraph 2.2, and on that basis denies the  
allegations of that paragraph.**

10                2.3 On or about May 7, 2007, Boeing hired Ms. Studley as a union assembler.

11                **2.3 ANSWER: Admit.**

12                2.4 On or about January 11, 2012, Ms. Studley sustained a workplace injury.

13                **2.4 ANSWER: Admit.**

14                2.5 Ms. Studley filed a claim for workers' compensation benefits.

15                **2.5 ANSWER: Admit.**

16                2.6 Boeing had notice of the workplace injury and workers' compensation claim,  
17                 through its third party administrator for workers' compensation claims, Sedgwick CMS  
18                 ("Sedgwick").

19                **2.6 ANSWER: Admit.**

20                2.7 Ms. Studley periodically requested days off from work, in order to receive  
21                 treatment for her workplace injury.

22                **2.7 ANSWER: Boeing admits that Ms. Studley periodically requested days off  
from work. Boeing is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to  
the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 2.7, and on that basis denies the  
allegations of that paragraph. Except as expressly admitted herein, Boeing denies the  
remaining allegations in paragraph 2.7.**

1       2.8     Boeing told Ms. Studley that she needed to contact its third-party administrator  
2 for disability related absences, Aetna “Total Access” when she took time off for medical  
3 absences.

4       **2.8     ANSWER: Boeing admits that it informed Ms. Studley that she needed to**  
5 **contact its third-party administrator, Aetna, her manager, and her Disability**  
6 **Management Representative when she took time off for medical absences.**

7       2.9     On or about August 16, 2013, Ms. Studley was required by Boeing’s third-party  
8 workers’ compensation administrator, Sedgwick, to attend an independent medical evaluation.

9       **2.9     ANSWER: Deny.**

10      2.10    Prior to the appointment, Ms. Studley notified her immediate manager and  
11 notified Aetna “Total Access.”

12      **2.10    ANSWER: Deny.**

13      2.11    On or about October 3, 2013 to on or about January 13, 2014, Ms. Studley was  
14 on a medical leave of absence because of her workplace injury.

15      **2.11    ANSWER: Boeing admits that Ms. Studley was on a medical leave of**  
16 **absence between October 3, 2013 to January 13, 2014.**

17      2.12    On or about January 13, 2014, Boeing terminated Ms. Studley’s employment.

18      **2.12    ANSWER: Admit.**

19      2.13    Boeing’s given reason for the termination was that Ms. Studley had not  
20 maintained acceptable attendance.

21      **2.13    ANSWER: Deny.**

22      2.14    In the termination meeting, Boeing referenced the August 16, 2013 date that  
23 Ms. Studley attended an independent medical examination that was set up through its third-  
24 party administrator, Sedgwick.

25      **2.14    ANSWER: Deny.**

26      2.15    Ms. Studley is a part of a protected class because of her disability.

1           **2.15 ANSWER:** Paragraph 2.15 states a legal conclusion to which no response is  
2 necessary. To the extent a response is required, Boeing denies the allegations in  
3 paragraph 2.15.

4           2.16 On information and belief, Boeing placed a non-disabled person in  
5 Ms. Studley's position, as her replacement.

6           **2.16 ANSWER: Deny.**

7           **III. SPECIFIC STATEMENT OF CLAIMS AND CAUSES OF ACTION**

8           3.1 Ms. Studley incorporates by reference and re-alleges paragraphs 1.1 - 2.16 as if  
9 fully set forth herein.

10          **3.1 ANSWER: Boeing hereby incorporates its responses to all prior allegations  
11 as previously stated.**

12          **A. CLAIM FOR FAILURE TO ACCOMMODATE UNDER THE  
13 WASHINGTON LAW AGAINST DISCRIMINATION ("WLAD")**

14          3.2 Ms. Studley had a sensory, mental or physical abnormality that substantially  
15 limited his ability to perform her job with Boeing.

16          **3.2 ANSWER: Boeing is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a  
17 belief as to the allegations contained in paragraph 3.2, and on that basis denies the  
18 allegations of that paragraph.**

19          3.3 Ms. Studley was qualified to perform the essential functions of the job or  
20 substantially-equal work with or without an accommodation.

21          **3.3 ANSWER: Deny.**

22          3.4 Ms. Studley gave Boeing notice of the abnormality and its accompanying  
23 substantial limitations.

24          **3.4 ANSWER: Deny.**

25          3.5 Upon notice, Boeing failed to affirmatively adopt measures that were available  
26 to Boeing and medically necessary to accommodate Ms. Studley.

27          **3.5 ANSWER: Deny.**

1       3.6     Boeing had a duty to engage in an interactive process of accommodating  
2 Ms. Studley's physical and mental limitations.

3       **3.6     ANSWER: Paragraph 3.6 states a legal conclusion to which no response is**  
4 **necessary. To the extent a response is required, Boeing denies the allegations in**  
5 **paragraph 3.6.**

6       3.7     Boeing failed to accommodate Ms. Studley's physical and mental limitations  
7 and Boeing failed to engage in the interactive process in violation of the Washington Law  
8 Against Discrimination, when it terminated her for taking time off for medical appointments for  
9 a known disability.

10      **3.7     ANSWER: Deny.**

11      3.8     Boeing terminated Ms. Studley's employment without accommodating her and  
12 without any attempts to engage in the interactive process.

13      **3.8     ANSWER: Deny.**

14      **B.     CLAIM FOR RETALIATION UNDER THE WLAD**

15      3.9     Boeing retaliated against Ms. Studley for having a disability.

16      **3.9     ANSWER: Deny.**

17      3.10    Ms. Studley was engaged in the protected activity of requesting a workplace  
18 accommodation for her disability.

19      **3.10    ANSWER: Deny.**

20      3.11    Boeing took an adverse employment action when it terminated her having a  
21 disability and for taking leave for her known disability.

22      **3.11    ANSWER: Deny.**

23      3.12    Ms. Studley's request for accommodation prompted Boeing's actions, which  
24 were its failure to accommodate Ms. Studley and its subsequent termination of him through its  
25 overt refusal to provide him with a position that would allow him to return to work after May  
26 2012.

27      **3.12    ANSWER: Deny.**

1           **C. CLAIM FOR DISCRIMINATION UNDER THE WLAD (DISPARATE  
2 TREATMENT)**

3       3.13 Ms. Studley is a member of a protected class because she has a disability.

4       **3.13 ANSWER: Boeing is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a  
5 belief as to the allegations contained in paragraph 3.13, and on that basis denies the  
6 allegations of that paragraph.**

7       3.14 Ms. Studley is qualified for the job or performing substantially equal work.

8       **3.14 ANSWER: Deny.**

9       3.15 Boeing an adverse employment action toward Ms. Studley when it terminated  
her for having a disability.

10      **3.15 ANSWER: Deny.**

11      3.16 Ms. Studley was doing satisfactory work at the time of termination.

12      **3.16 ANSWER: Deny.**

13      3.17 Ms. Studley was replaced by a person without a disability.

14      **3.17 ANSWER: Deny.**

15      3.18 Boeing terminated Ms. Studley's employment because of Ms. Studley's physical  
16 limitations in violation of the Washington Law Against Discrimination, RCW 49.60.180.

17      **3.18 ANSWER: Deny.**

18                                  **IV. DAMAGES**

19      4.1 Ms. Studley incorporates by reference and re-alleges paragraphs 1.1 - 3.18 as if  
20 fully set forth herein.

21      **4.1 ANSWER: Boeing hereby incorporates its responses to all prior allegations  
22 as previously stated.**

23      4.2 Boeing's unlawful conduct with regard to its employment of Ms. Studley has  
24 caused Ms. Studley the following damages:

25       4.2.1 Lost back pay, wages; and benefits in amounts to be established at trial.

26       **4.2.1 ANSWER: Deny.**

1           4.2.2 Lost front pay, future wages; and benefits in amounts to be established at  
2 trial.

3           **4.2.2 ANSWER: Deny.**

4           4.2.3 Emotional upset, stress, and anxiety in an amount to be established at  
5 trial.

6           **4.2.3 ANSWER: Deny.**

7           4.2.4 Out of pocket expenses, litigation costs, and attorney fees in amounts to  
8 be established at trial.

9           **4.2.4 ANSWER: Deny.**

10           **V. REQUEST FOR RELIEF**

11           **Boeing denies that any relief sought by Studley as described in Plaintiff's Prayer**  
12 **for Relief is appropriate.**

13           **VI. AFFIRMATIVE AND OTHER DEFENSES**

14           Below are Defendant's affirmative and other defenses. By setting forth these affirmative  
15 and other defenses, Defendant does not assume any burden of proof as to any fact issue or other  
16 element of any cause of action that properly belongs to Plaintiff. Defendant reserves the right to  
17 amend or supplement its affirmative defenses.

18           1.       **Failure to State a Claim.** The Complaint and some or all of its purported  
19 causes of action fail to state a claim upon which relief can be granted and fail to state facts  
20 sufficient to entitle Plaintiff to an award of exemplary or punitive damages.

21           2.       **Failure to Mitigate Damages.** Plaintiff failed to take reasonable steps to  
22 minimize or prevent the damages plaintiff claims to have suffered.

23           3.       **Contributory Negligence.** Plaintiff was at fault in how she conducted her  
24 affairs relative to the incidents described in her complaint. Such fault caused or contributed to  
25 the damages complained of in this case and Plaintiff's recovery, if any, should be reduced in  
26 proportion to Plaintiff's fault.

1       4.     **Not Qualified.** Plaintiff is not qualified to perform the essential functions of her  
 2 job with or without a reasonable accommodation.

3       5.     **Undue Hardship.** Although Plaintiff never requested an accommodation, had  
 4 she done so, any request made by Plaintiff to accommodate her alleged disability would have  
 5 been unreasonable or would have created an undue hardship for Boeing based on the  
 6 allegations made herein.

7       6.     **Failure to Exhaust Remedies.** The Complaint, and each and every cause of  
 8 action therein, is barred because Plaintiff was, at all times, an employee, the terms and  
 9 conditions of whose employment was covered by a collective bargaining agreement.  
 10 Accordingly, Plaintiff's exclusive remedy for some or all of the wrongs alleged in the  
 11 Complaint are contained in the collective bargaining agreement, which Plaintiff has failed to  
 12 exhaust.

13       7.     **Waiver and Estoppel.** Plaintiff is estopped from pursuing, or has waived, her  
 14 claims based on her behavior relative to the incidents described in her complaint.

15       8.     **Unclean Hands.** Plaintiff's claims are barred and her damages are limited by  
 16 her own bad faith or inequitable conduct relative to the incidents described in her complaint.

17       9.     **Fault of Third Parties.** People or entities other than Boeing caused or  
 18 contributed to the damages Plaintiff claims to have suffered. Any award made in favor of  
 19 Plaintiff and against Boeing must be reduced by an amount equal to the percentage of the fault  
 20 of others or divided between the defendants so that each pays only his, her, or its fair share in  
 21 relationship to his, her, or its amount of fault.

22       10.    **Legitimate, Nondiscriminatory Business Reason.** Boeing's actions or  
 23 omissions were based on legitimate, nondiscriminatory business reasons unrelated to Plaintiff's  
 24 disability.

25       11.    **Good Faith/Business Judgment.** Boeing's actions or omissions are privileged  
 26 because they were made in good faith and in the exercise of its reasonable business judgment,  
 27 for good cause, and for legitimate business purposes of necessity.

1 Boeing reserves the right to amend its Answer to state additional affirmative and other  
2 defenses, and to add such counterclaims as may become necessary after reasonable opportunity  
3 for discovery.

4 **VII. DEFENDANT'S PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

5 WHEREFORE, having fully answered Plaintiff's Complaint, and having asserted its  
6 defenses against Plaintiff, Defendant Boeing prays for the following relief:

- 7 1. That Plaintiff takes nothing by way of the Complaint;
- 8 2. That Plaintiff's Complaint in this action be dismissed in its entirety with  
prejudice and without costs or fees to Plaintiff of any kind;
- 9 3. That Boeing be awarded its reasonable expenses incurred in defending this  
action, including its costs and reasonable attorneys' fees; and
- 10 4. That Boeing be granted such other and further relief as the Court may deem just  
and equitable.

11 DATED this 23rd day of July, 2015.

12 Davis Wright Tremaine LLP  
13 Attorneys for Defendant,  
14 The Boeing Company

15 By s/ Paula L. Lehmann  
16 Paula L. Lehmann, WSBA #20678  
17 777 108th Avenue NE, Suite 2300  
18 Bellevue, WA 98004-5149  
19 Telephone: (425) 646-6100  
20 Fax: (425) 646-6199  
21 E-mail: [paulalehmann@dwt.com](mailto:paulalehmann@dwt.com)

22 By s/ Taylor Ball  
23 Taylor Ball, WSBA #46927  
24 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 2200  
25 Seattle, WA 98101-3045  
Telephone: (206) 757-8280  
Fax: (206) 757-7700  
E-mail: [taylorball@dwt.com](mailto:taylorball@dwt.com)

1  
2                   **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**  
3  
4

5                   I hereby certify that on July 23, 2015, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of  
6 the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following:  
7  
8

9                   Patrick B. Reddy  
10                  Amanda Masters  
11                  Emery Reddy, PLLC  
12                  600 Stewart St., Ste. 1100  
13                  Seattle, WA 98101  
14                  Telephone: (206) 442-9106  
15                  Facsimile: (206) 441-9711  
16                  Email: [reddyp@emeryreddy.com](mailto:redtyp@emeryreddy.com)  
17                  Email: [amanda@emeryreddy.com](mailto:amanda@emeryreddy.com)

18                  \_\_\_\_\_  
19                  *s/ Donna Alexander*  
20                  Donna Alexander  
21                  1201 Third Avenue, Suite 2200  
22                  Seattle, WA 98101-3045  
23                  Telephone: (206) 757-8280  
24                  Fax: (206) 757-7700  
25                  E-mail: [donnaalexander@dwt.com](mailto:donnaalexander@dwt.com)  
26  
27